

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,123	02/21/2002	Richard E. Riley	A-70431/ESW 8541	
7590 02/24/2004			EXAMINER	
FLEHR HOHBACH TEST			CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN	
ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP Suite 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Four Embarcadero Center			1772	
San Francisco, CA 94111-4187			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

y	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/081,123	RILEY, RICHARD E.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alicia Chevalier	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and an w or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>13-16</u> .						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 110/081,123

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly Amended claim 1 raise(s) new issues requiring a novel search and further consideration because it new-recites numerous changes) hot previously presented.

Would add features

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are drawn to a proposed claim amendment, which is not being entered. Thus, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims.

Continuation of 10. Other: The proposed after final amendment is non-compliant with the revised amendment practice, re: revised 37 CFR 1.121. The status identifiers, previously amended and presently cancelled, are not proper status identifiers. The current status of all claims in the application, including any previously canceled, not entered or withdrawn claims, must be given in a parenthetical expression following the claim number using only one of the following seven status identifiers: (original), (currently amended), (canceled), (withdrawn), (new), (previously presented) and (not entered)...

1. BOH

SANDRAM, NOLAN PRIMARY EXAMINER